

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,617	02/15/2002	Paul D. Rubin	4821-468-999	2816	
20582 75	90 06/05/2003				
	PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP 1667 K STREET NW			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1000			COOK, REBECCA		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT		
			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	\sim	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		Application No.	Applicant(s)
6.7		10/075,617	RUBIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Rebecca Cook	1614
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
I HE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r earne Status	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE and the date of this communication, even if timely filed.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 I		
2a)⊠	-	is action is non-final.	
3) Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal matters, parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 55-64 and 71 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>55-64 and 71</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
40)[] =	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a)[_	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1	Certified copies of the priority documents		
2	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No
	B. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn se the attached detailed Office action for a list c	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	_
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provious knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic knowledgment is made of the claim for down for	risional application has been rece	eived
) Notice () Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paner No. 8



Art Unit: 1614

Claims 55-56 and 71 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating disorders affected by basal tone of the vagus nerve (page 7, lines 13-16), does not reasonably provide enablement for treating, managing or preventing apnea or apnea disorders. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicants' argument that the application enables one of ordinary skill in the art to treat, manage and prevent apnea and apnea disorders by setting for the population of patients to which the invention pertains and defining preferred embodiments and dosing regimens is not persuasive. It is not seen that the intended apnea or apnea disorders are affected by basal tone of the vagus nerve. Due to the lack of pharmacological methods of treating sleep apnea, there is a high level of unpredictability associated with a method of treating, managing and preventing apnea and apnea disorders.

Furthermore, it would take undue experimentation to determine which apnea disorders (+) norcisapride would be useful to treat. From the description of the apnea disorders on page 1 it does not appear that they are all called by the same mechanism or action and would therefore respond to the same compound.

Claims 55-65 and 71 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants' argument that the terms "apnea" and "apnea disorders" are clearly defined in the specification is not persuasive, since both apnea and apnea disorders are

Application/Control Number: 10/075,617

Art Unit: 1614

defined as the absence of breathing. Furthermore, on page 1, line 10, applicants disclose that there are number of disorders associated with apnea.

In view of the amendment to the claims the earlier rejection under USC 112, paragraph two to "such" is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 55-64 and 71 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5,739,151 (McCullough) and Skinner et al for the reasons given in Paper No. 5.

Applicant' argument that McCoullough or Skinner et al do not disclose treating apnea or apnea disorders using (+) norcisapride) is not persuasive.

McCoullough discloses that (+) norcisapride is useful to treat gastrointestinal motility dysfunction. Skinner et al disclose that gastroesophageal reflux (GER), a gastromotility dysfunction, causes apnea. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that treating gastromotility dysfunction in a patient having apnea with (+) norcisapride would also have the reasonable expectation of managing and treating the apnea and preventing episodes of apnea.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,617

Art Unit: 1614

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cook whose telephone number is (703) 308-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

REBECCA COOK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200/6/C

June 3, 2003